

#1976
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
CIVIL MINUTES - GENERAL

Case No. 2:24-cv-09492-RGK-SK

Date February 12, 2025

Title Chapter Kris Jackson v. Santander Consumer USA, et al.

Present: The Honorable R. GARY KLAUSNER, UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

Joseph Remigio

N/A

Deputy Clerk

Court Reporter

Attorneys Present for Plaintiffs:

Attorneys Present for Defendants:

None appearing

None appearing

Proceedings: (IN CHAMBERS) Order to Show Cause re Dismissal for Lack of Prosecution

The Order to Show Cause [39], entered on January 15, 2025 is discharged as to Defendant Edward Setzler and discharged as moot as to Defendant Horst Schwab.

Absent a showing of good cause, an action must be dismissed without prejudice if the summons and complaint are not served on a defendant within 90 days after the complaint is filed. Fed.R.Civ.Proc. 4(m). Generally, defendants must answer the complaint within 21 days after service (60 days if the defendant is the United States). Fed.R.Civ.Proc. 12(a)(1).

In the present case, it appears that one or more of these time periods has not been met. Accordingly, the court, on its own motion, orders plaintiff(s) to show cause in writing by **February 14, 2025**, why this action should not be dismissed for lack of prosecution as to certain defendant/s.

Alternatively, the Court will consider the following a satisfactory response to the Order to Show Cause:

	Alternative Response	Response Due Date	As to Defendant/s:
X	Proof of TIMELY AND PROPER service of summons and operative complaint	2/14/2025	Horst Schwab

Plaintiff's Request for Entry of Default [40] as to defendant Horst Schwab ("Schwab"), filed on January 21, 2025 was rejected by the Clerk on January 22, 2025 for deficiencies with the Proof of Service.

If a satisfactory response is not timely filed, the matter or the listed defendants will be dismissed for lack of prosecution. **A stipulation to extend dates or a notice of settlement do not constitute a proper response to this order.**

Pursuant to Rule 78 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, the court finds that this matter is appropriate for submission without oral argument. Failure to comply with this order may result in the imposition of sanctions including dismissal of certain parties and/or the entire action for lack of prosecution without further warning.

Plaintiff to serve this order on any non-appearing defendant/s who have been formally served.